



Financial Services Board

**Approach to Risk-Based Supervision:
FSB,
South Africa**

**Jurgen Boyd
Deputy Executive Officer: FSB**

Overview:



- Supervisory Landscape
- Comparison of FSB approach with IOPS model
- Processes adopted: Risk assessment
- Factors affecting risk assessment:
 - Administrators
 - Retirement funds
- Calculating risk rating



Supervisory Landscape

Quasi-mandatory occupational funds and voluntary funds

- Number of funds = 11 835
- Number of members = 9 Million
- Asset value = \$214 Billion
- Number of administrators = 323
- Board of Trustees (BOT):
 - Minimum of 4
 - 50% appointed by members
 - Estimated number of trustees: 30 000 – 60 000



Comparison of FSB approach with IOPS model

FSB:	IOPS:
- Rules and compliance based legislation	- Interpretive legislation
- Members elect at least 50% of the board members	- Execute a fit and proper test on members of governing body
- Quantitative limits set for investments	- Prudent person
- Non disclosure of risk rating	- Disclosure of risk rating



Process adopted: risk assessment

- Large number of retirement funds and administrators preclude supervisor from conducting individual risk assessments
- Self assessment risk questionnaire introduced for completion by each supervised entity
- Electronically submitted and risk assessed



Factors influencing\impacting on probability rating - Administrators

- Number of funds under administration
 - Number of outstanding statutory returns
 - Number of complaints against administrator
 - Qualifications in audit reports
 - Contraventions of the Act
 - Outcome of inspections
 - Determinations of Pension Funds Adjudicator
-
- ***The risk rating of the administrator influences the risk rating of the funds under their administration***



Factors influencing\impacting on probability rating – Retirement Funds

- Financial information identified electronically on the early warning system:
 - Audit opinion
 - Bank overdraft
 - Exceed prescribed investment limits
 - Exceed investment limit in a participating employer
 - Arrear contributions
- Non-submission of statutory returns
- Complaints against the fund
- Determinations by the Pension Funds Adjudicator
- Whistle Blowing (including Reportable Irregularities)

Calculation of risk rating:

$$RISK = IMPACT \times PROBABILITY$$

The four risk categories are:


1. Low
2. Moderate
3. Significant
4. High



Impact rating

Threshold	FUND: <i>Asset value of fund</i>	ADMINISTRATOR: <i>Asset value under administration</i>
High (4)	>\$13,4 Million	>\$1,339 Billion
Above Medium (3)	>\$6,7 Million < \$13,4 Million	>\$134 Million < \$1,339 Billion
Below Medium (2)	>\$1 Million < \$6,7 Million	> \$67 Million < \$134 Million
Low (1)	<\$1 Million	< \$67 Million

SUMMARY: RISK FRAMEWORK





Thank You

?